1	Guido Saveri (Bar No. 22349) R. Alexander Saveri (Bar No. 173102)		
$_{2}$	Geoffrey C. Rushing (Bar No. 126910)		
3	Cadio Zirpoli (Bar No. 179108) SAVERI & SAVERI, INC.		
	706 Sansome Street		
4	San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 217-6810		
5	Facsimile: (415) 217-6813		
6	Interim Lead Counsel for the		
7	Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs		
8			
9	UNITED STATE	ES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	SAN FRANC	CISCO DIVISION	
13			
14	IN RE: CRT ANTITRUST LITIGATION	Master File No. CV-07-5944-SC MDL No. 1917	
15	This Document Relates to:	CLASS ACTION	
16	ALL DIRECT PURCHASER CLASS	DECLARATION OF RANDY RENICK IN	
17	ACTIONS	SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR	
18		ATTORNEYS' FEES, REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES, AND INCENTIVE	
19		AWARDS	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		Master File No. CV-07-5944-SC: MDI. 191	

3 4 5

1

2

- 6 7 8
- 9 10
- 12

11

- 13 14
- 15 16
- 17 18
- 19 20
- 22

21

- 23 24
- 25
- 26
- 27 28

- 1. I am a member of the law firm of Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP. I submit this declaration in support of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs ("DPP") joint application for an award of attorney fees in connection with the services rendered in this litigation. I make this Declaration based on my personal knowledge and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify to the matters stated herein.
- 2. My firm has served as counsel to Orion Home Systems, LLC and as counsel for the class throughout the course of this litigation. The background and experience of Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP firm and its attorneys are summarized in the curriculum vitae attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 3. Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP has prosecuted this litigation solely on a contingent-fee basis, and has been at risk that it would not receive any compensation for prosecuting claims against the defendants. While Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP devoted its time and resources to this matter, it has foregone other legal work for which it would have been compensated.
- 4. During the pendency of the litigation, Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP performed the following work: research and investigation of Plaintiffs' claims, preparing and drafting the Complaint for Damages, analyzing Defendants' discovery responses, responding to discovery propounded by Defendants, preparing for the deposition of my client and the review of documents and materials
- 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is my firm's total hours and lodestar, computed at historical rates, from May 9, 2008 through July 31, 2014. This period reflects the time spent after the appointment of Lead Counsel in the litigation. The total number of hours spent by Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP during this period of time was 3,473.33 with a corresponding lodestar of \$1,653,570.75. This summary was prepared from contemporaneous, daily time records regularly prepared and maintained by my firm. The lodestar amount reflected in Exhibit 2 is for work assigned by Lead Class Counsel, and was performed by professional staff at my law firm for the

benefit of the Direct Purchaser Plaintiff ("DPP") Class.

Renick, LLP.

6. The hourly rates for the attorneys and professional support staff in my firm included in Exhibit 2 are the usual and customary hourly rates charged by Hadsell Stormer &

- 7. My firm has expended a total of \$2,063.60 in unreimbursed costs and expenses in connection with the prosecution of this litigation. These costs and expenses are broken down in the chart attached hereto as Exhibit 3. They were incurred on behalf of Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs by my firm on a contingent basis, and have not been reimbursed. The expenses incurred in this action are reflected on the books and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other source materials and represent an accurate recordation of the expenses incurred.
- 8. I have reviewed the time and expenses reported by my firm in this case which are included in this declaration, and I affirm that they are true and accurate.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on August 19, 2015 at Pasadena, California.

Randy Renick

Exhibit 1

Randy Renick, a partner with Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP in Pasadena, California, specializes in complex cases and class action litigation, including wage and hour, antitrust, employment, civil rights and public interest litigation. Mr. Renick was selected as a "Rising Star" by the Los Angeles Magazine and Law & Politics Magazine for 2004 and has also been selected as a "Super Lawyer" by Los Angeles Magazine and Law & Politics Magazine each year since 2005. He was one of only a few Plaintiff-side Employment Lawyers selected for the "Best Lawyers in America" each year since 2007.

Mr. Renick is regularly asked to give lectures regarding public interest and class action litigation to lawyers, law students and public interest organizations; including the National Employment Lawyers Association; the University of California at Los Angeles School of Law, State Bar of California Labor and Employment Section; Los Angeles County Bar Labor and Employment Section, California Employment Lawyers Association, The Coalition Against Slavery and Trafficking, and the Western Trial Lawyers Association.

Randy Renick is a graduate of Southwestern School of Law and has been specializing in complex litigation since 1995, first with Hadsell & Stormer, Inc. and from January 1, 2000 until December 31, 2007 with the Law Offices of Randy Renick. Since January 1, 2008, Mr. Renick has been a partner with Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP. During his career, Mr. Renick has been involved in a number of significant individual and class action cases, including:

- *Dukes v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, C 01-2252-CRB, U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California. Currently serving as Co-Lead Counsel for claims of wage and promotion gender discrimination brought on behalf of 150,000 former and current employees.
- Wang v. Chinese Daily News, CV-04-1498 CBM, U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Served as Lead Counsel in a jury trial representing a class of hourly newspaper employees involving claims of unpaid overtime and other wage and hour violations. After jury and bench trial, obtained a judgment in favor of his clients for more than \$5,200,000.
- City of Los Angeles Service Charge Cases, Lead Case BC377050, Los Angeles Superior Court, Judge William Highberger. Class Counsel in five separate actions on behalf of hourly workers against various Century Boulevard hotels alleging violations of the City of Los Angeles Service Charge Ordinance. Defended the constitutionality of the Ordinance on Appeal in the published decision of Garcia v. Four Points Sheraton LAX (2010) 188 Cal. App. 4th 364.
- *Small v. Brinderson*, 04CC00717, Orange County Superior Court. Served as Lead Counsel for certified class of more than 5,000 construction trade employees for overtime, meal and rest break claims. Case resolved for \$5,250,000.
- *Diaz v. ABM Industries, Inc.*, BC362932, Los Angeles Superior Court, Lead Counsel for certified class of more than 5,000 employees seeking damages for employer's failure to provide meal periods. Case resolved for \$4,900,000.
- *Morales v. Aramark Corporation.*, CV-09-05565, U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Lead Counsel for class of more than 20,000 food service workers alleging claims for meal and rest period, and overtime violations. Case resolved for \$3,900.000.

- *Navarro v. Pacifica Hosts Hotels, Inc.*, BC352017, Los Angeles Superior Court. Class Counsel for class of more than 4,000 hourly employees at 19 hotels in California with claims of unpaid overtime, missed meal and rest breaks. Matter settled for \$6,500,000.
- John Amaro v. the Ritz-Carlton, Huntington Hotel & Spa; BC 376739, Los Angeles Superior Court. Lead Counsel for class of 800 hotel workers alleging employer failed to pay wages and provide meal and rest breaks. Settled case for \$975,000.
- Soto v. Starwood Hotels & Resorts Worldwide, Inc., BC 352849, Los Angeles Superior Court. Class Counsel for hourly hotel workers at Westin LAX hotel alleging meal and rest break and overtime violations. Settled for \$3,000,000.
- *Hernandez v. Tyco International (US) Inc.*, BC315749, Los Angeles Superior Court. Lead Counsel for class of 450 hourly production employees with claims for unpaid overtime, meal and rest break violations. Case resolved with settlement of \$4,900,000.
- *De La Rosa v. ICC Collision Centers*, BC 389024, Los Angeles Superior Court. Represented class of body shop workers who were denied overtime. Case settled for nearly \$250,000.
- Rogers v. Weyerhaeuser Corp., CV-05-06076 NM, U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Class Counsel for 125 hourly employees alleging meal and rest break and overtime violations against employer. Settled in 2005 for \$1,500,000.
- *Pinney v. Great Western Bank*, BC 146276 and CV-95-2110-IH, U.S. District Court for the Central District of California and LA Superior Court. Served as counsel in securities fraud and invasion of privacy class action. The matter settled for more than \$16 Million.
- Levitan v. TV Fanfare Media Inc., BC 241713, Los Angeles Superior Court. Served as Lead Counsel in Wage and Hour Class Action Case representing class of individuals mis-classified as Independent Contractors. Los Angeles Superior Court Judge Charles W. McCoy granted final approval to the \$1.85 Million settlement in April of 2004.
- *Ruiz v. Jackson*, SC076090, Los Angeles Superior Court. Obtained jury verdict of \$825,000 on behalf of Philippina plaintiff brought to Los Angeles and forced to work 18 hours per day for more than one year without pay. Prevailed on all claims including false imprisonment, fraud, assault, battery and negligence against defendants, one of whom was a lawyer and executive at Sony Pictures. Jury found that the defendants had wrongfully imprisoned Plaintiff by means of violence and threats.
- *Paige v. State of California*, CV 94-0083 CBM U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Served as Co-Lead Trial Counsel in two month class action trial involving claims against California Highway Patrol alleging discrimination in promotions against Non-White Officers.
- *Mesfun v. Hagos*, CV 93-02182 MMM U.S. District Court for the Central District of California. Served as Lead Counsel in jury trial involving allegations of False

Imprisonment and Labor Code Violations in front of Judge Margaret Morrow. Obtained a jury verdict on Labor Code claim against Defendant.

- Mr. Renick also has substantial experience litigating antitrust class action cases in both state and federal courts. Mr. Renick is intimately familiar with the management and organization required to aggressively litigate complicated class action cases involving multiple cases and numerous co-counsel. Some of the antitrust matters in which he has served as counsel include.
- *In Re TFT-LCD (Flat Panel) Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 7269 (Judge Illston) United States District Court, Northern District of California (antitrust class action on behalf of direct purchasers of LCD screens alleging a nationwide class for price-fixing). The case settled for more than \$400,000,000.
- *In Re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1486 (Judge Hamilton) United States District Court, Northern District of California (antitrust class action on behalf of direct purchasers of dynamic random access memory (DRAM) alleging a nationwide class for price-fixing), The case settled for more than \$330,000,000.
- *Vitamin Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4076, San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of vitamins). The case was settled for \$96,000,000.
- *California Indirect Purchaser MSG Antitrust Cases*, Master File No. 304471, San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of Monosodium Glutamate). The case settled for more than \$11,000,000.
- *In Re Methionine Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 1311, CRB, United States District Court, Northern District of California. A nationwide class action on behalf of direct purchasers of methionine alleging price-fixing. The case was settled for \$107,000,000.
- *In re California Polychloroprene Cases*, J.C.C.P. 4376, Los Angeles Superior Court. Statewide class on behalf of indirect purchasers for Polychloroprene. The matter settled for in excess of \$4,500,000.
- *In Re Urethane Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4367, San Francisco Superior Court. Settlements of over \$3,000,000 in antitrust class action on behalf of all California indirect purchasers of urethane and urethane chemicals.
- The Harman Press et al. v. International Paper Co. et al., (Consolidated Cases) Master File No. CGC-04-432167, San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of all California indirect purchasers of publication paper).
- *In Re Label Stock Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4314, San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of all California indirect purchasers of high pressure label stock).
- *Richard Villa et al. v. Crompton Corporation et al.*, Consolidated Case No. CGC-03-419116, San Francisco Superior Court. Settlements of over \$2,000,000 antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of EPDM.

- Russell Reidel et al. v. Norfalco LLC et al., Consolidated Case No. CGC-03-418080, San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of sulfuric acid).
- *Smokeless Tobacco Cases I-IV*, J.C.C.P. Nos. 4250, 4258, 4259 and 4262, San Francisco Superior Court (certified antitrust class action on behalf of California consumers of smokeless tobacco products) The case settled for \$99,000,000 with over \$25,000,000 allocated cy pres.
- *Electrical Carbon Products Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4294, San Francisco Superior Court (Private Entity Cases) Settlement of antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of electrical carbon products).
- *In Re Laminate Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4129, Alameda Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of high pressure laminate).
- *Compact Disk Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4123, Los Angeles Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California consumers of prerecorded compact disks settled for more than \$100,000,000).
- *Purchaser Auction House Cases*, Master Case No. 310313. San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California auction buyers and sellers).
- In Re Western States Wholesale Natural Gas Litigation, MDL 1566. Settlements of over \$150,000,000 in antitrust class action on behalf of California buyers of natural gas.
- *In Re NBR Cases*, J.C.C.P. No. 4369, San Francisco Superior Court (antitrust class action on behalf of California indirect purchasers of NBR).
- *In Re Intel Corp. Microprocessor Antitrust Litigation*, MDL 05-1717 (JJF) USDC, District of Delaware (antitrust class action on behalf of all consumers in the United States that indirectly purchased Intel x86 microprocessors).
- *In Re Vitamin C Antitrust Litgation*, MDL 06-1738 (DTG)(JO), USDC, Eastern District of New York (antitrust class action on behalf of all California indirect purchasers of Vitamin C).

Exhibit 2

In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP Reported Hours and Lodestar May 9, 2008 through July 30, 2014

NAME	TOTAL HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR			
ATTORNEYS						
Randy Renick (P) 2008	16.5	\$550.00	\$9,075.00			
Randy Renick (P) 2009	10.10	\$575.00	\$5,807.50			
Randy Renick (P) 2010	16.5	\$600.00	\$9,900.00			
Randy Renick (P) 2011	17.3	\$600.00	\$10,380.00			
Randy Renick (P) 2012	20.2	\$625.00	\$12,625.00			
Randy Renick (P) 2013	21	\$650.00	\$13,650.00			
Mayra Lira (OC) 2013	20.33	\$525.00	\$10,673.25			
R. Jeffrey Graham (OC) 2011	647.7	\$450.00	\$291,465.00			
R. Jeffrey Graham (OC) 2012	1356	\$475.00	\$644,100.00			
Brian Bilford (A) 2011	6.9	\$325.00	\$2,242.50			
Hyun Young-Na (OC) 2012	1258.5	\$500.00	\$629,250.00			
NON-ATTORNEYS						
Ben Stormer (LC) 2010	24.5	\$175.00	\$4,287.50			
Ben Stormer (LC) 2011	57.8	\$175.00	\$10,115.00			
TOTAL: 3473.33 \$1,653,570.75						

- (P) Partner
- (OC) Of Counsel
- (A) Associate
- (PL) Paralegal (LC) Law Clerk

Exhibit 3

In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust Litigation Hadsell Stormer & Renick, LLP Reported Expenses Incurred on Behalf of DPPs

CATEGORY	AMOUNT INCURRED
Court Fees (filing, etc.)	
Experts/Consultants	
Federal Express	\$322.45
Transcripts (Hearing, Deposition, etc.)	
Computer Research	
Messenger Delivery	\$32.00
Photocopies – In House	\$140.70
Photocopies – Outside	
Postage	\$79.00
Service of Process	
Telephone/Telecopier	
Travel (Airfare, Ground Travel, Meals, Lodging, etc.)	\$1,489.45
TOTAL:	\$2,063.60