

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. CV- 07-5944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

ALL DIRECT PURCHASER ACTIONS

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER GRANTING FINAL
APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
SETTLEMENTS WITH HITACHI AND
SAMSUNG SDI DEFENDANTS**

Date: August 22, 2014

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Judge: Honorable Samuel Conti

Courtroom: 1

1 On August 1, 2014, Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Final Approval of Class
2 Action Settlements with: Hitachi, Ltd.; Hitachi Displays, Ltd. (n/k/a Japan Display Inc.) (“Hitachi
3 Displays”); Hitachi America, Ltd.; Hitachi Asia, Ltd.; Hitachi Electronic Devices (USA) Inc.
4 (collectively, “Hitachi”); and Samsung SDI Co. Ltd. (f/k/a Samsung Display Devices Co., Ltd.);
5 Samsung SDI America, Inc.; Samsung SDI Brasil, Ltd.; Tianjin Samsung SDI Co., Ltd.; Samsung
6 Shenzhen SDI Co., Ltd.; SDI Malaysia Sdn. Bhd.; SDI Mexico S.A. de C.V. (collectively,
7 “Samsung SDI”). The Court, having reviewed the motion, the settlement agreement between the
8 Plaintiffs and Hitachi (“Hitachi Settlement Agreement”) and the settlement agreement between the
9 Plaintiffs and Samsung SDI (“Samsung SDI Settlement Agreement”) (collectively the “Settlement
10 Agreements”), the pleadings and other papers on file in this action, and the statements of counsel
11 and the parties, hereby finds that the motion should be GRANTED.

12 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

13 1. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, and all actions
14 within this litigation and over the parties to the Settlement Agreements, including all members of
15 the Class and the Defendants.

16 2. For purposes of this Order, except as otherwise set forth herein, the Court adopts
17 and incorporates the definitions contained in the Settlement Agreements.

18 3. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(g), Lead Counsel, previously appointed by the Court
19 (Saveri & Saveri, Inc.), is appointed as counsel for the Class. Saveri & Saveri, Inc. has and will
20 fairly and competently represent the interests of the Class.

21 4. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the Court determines that the
22 following settlement class be certified:

23 All persons and entities who, between March 1, 1995 and November 25, 2007, directly
24 purchased a CRT Product in the United States from any defendant or subsidiary or
25 affiliate thereof, or any co-conspirator. Excluded from the Class are defendants, their
26 parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirator, all governmental
27 entities, and any judges or justices assigned to hear any aspect of this action.

28 5. CRT Products refers to all forms of Cathode Ray Tubes, as well as to
29 devices that contain CRTs. It includes CPTs, CDTs, monochrome display tubes, and the
30 finished products that contain them—televisions and monitors.

1 6. The Court further finds that the prerequisites to a class action under Rule
2 23 are satisfied for settlement purposes in that: (a) there are hundreds of geographically
3 dispersed class members, making joinder of all members impracticable; (b) there are
4 questions of law and fact common to the class which predominate over individual issues;
5 (c) the claims or defenses of the class plaintiffs are typical of the claims or defenses of
6 the class; (d) the plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class, and
7 have retained counsel experienced in antitrust class action litigation who have, and will
8 continue to, adequately represent the class; and (e) a class action is superior to individual
9 actions.

10 7. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlements set forth in the
11 Settlement Agreements and finds that said settlements are, in all respects, fair, reasonable and
12 adequate to the Class pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

13 8. This Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the Action in
14 favor of Hitachi and in favor of Samsung SDI, with each party to bear their own costs and
15 attorneys' fees.

16 9. The Hitachi Releasees and Samsung SDI Releasees are hereby and forever
17 released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the
18 Releasors had or have arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined
19 in the Settlement Agreements.

20 10. The notice given to the Class of the settlements was the best notice
21 practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the
22 Class who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and
23 adequate notice of those proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the
24 proposed settlements set forth in the Settlement Agreements, to all persons entitled to
25 such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and
26 23(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process.

27 11. The Plan of Allocation set forth in the Class notice is, in all respects, fair,
28

1 adequate, and reasonable. Accordingly, the Court hereby grants final approval of the Plan
2 of Allocation.

3 12. Without affecting the finality of the Judgments in any way, this Court
4 hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of these settlements and
5 any distribution to Class Members pursuant to further orders of this Court; (b) disposition
6 of the Settlement Funds; (c) hearing and determining applications by plaintiffs for
7 attorneys' fees, costs, expenses, and interest; (d) the Action until the Final Judgments
8 contemplated hereby have become effective and each and every act agreed to be
9 performed by the parties all have been performed pursuant to the Settlement Agreements;
10 (e) hearing and ruling on any matters relating to the plan of allocation of settlement
11 proceeds; and (f) all parties to the Action and Releasors for the purpose of enforcing and
12 administering the Settlement Agreements and the mutual releases and other documents
13 contemplated by, or executed in connection with the Settlement Agreements.

14 13. In the event that the settlements do not become effective in accordance
15 with the terms of the Settlement Agreements, then the Judgments shall be rendered null
16 and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered
17 in connection herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their
18 respective positions *ex ante*.

19 14. The Court finds, pursuant to Rules 54(a) and (b) of the Federal Rules of
20 Civil Procedure, that Final Judgments should be entered and further finds that there is no
21 just reason for delay in the entry of Judgments, as Final Judgments, as to the parties to the
22 Settlement Agreements. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgments
23 forthwith for Hitachi and Samsung SDI.

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25
26 Dated: July 22, 2015



Hon. Samuel Conti
United States District Judge